

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,551		01/19/2001	Masood Garahi	ODS-19	6623
1473	7590	12/23/2003		EXAMI	NER
FISH & N		·	MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
	1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 50TH FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER
	-	, NY 10020-1105		2686	/3
				DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	, /-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/766,551** 

Applicant(s)

Garahi

Examiner

Naghmeh Mehrpour

Art Unit 2686



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
	for Reply							
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE _	3	MONTH(S) FROM				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) ne application to beco	) MONTHS fro ome ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-fina	I.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) 11-13 and 23-26			is/are pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 11-13 and 23-26			is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a) 🗌 a <sub>l</sub>	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures at the attached detailed Office paties for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)}.					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachm		priority diluci	00 0.0.0	. 33 120 GHQ/OF 121.				
	etics of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO	.413) Paper No(s)				
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent	Application (PTO-152)				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5-6, 7, 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/766,551 Page 2

Art Unit: 2686

## **Information Disclosure Statement**

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 1/19/01, 4/26/02, have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-13, 24-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paravia et al.(US Patent Number 6,508,710) in view of LaDue (US Patent Number 5,999,808). Regarding claims 10, 23, Paravia teaches a method for use in an interactive wagering system (col 1 lines 60-67) comprising:
- a communications device that is operable in a wireless communications network in a plurality of localities (col 3 lines 4-15), comprising:

implementing a user-interactive wagering application to provide a wagering service that is operable to a user from the communications device (col 3 lines 21-31);

preventing the user from wagering using the wagering application when the mobile wireless communications device is being operated in a locality where wagering is not legal (col 3 lines 40-56).

ند

Application/Control Number: 09/766,551 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

Paravia fails to teach that a method of determining in which one of the localities the user is currently operating the mobile wireless communication device based on which one of a plurality of the base station wireless communications device is associated. However LaDue teaches a method of determining in which one of the localities the user is currently operating the mobile wireless communication device based on which one of a plurality of the base station wireless communications device is associated (col 4 lines 20-25, col 5 lines 11-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of LaDue with Paravia, in order to provide an application for specific data such as gaming and wagering data as well as other specific data application.

Regarding claims 11, 24, Paravia fails to teach a method/system wherein the mobile wireless communications device comprises a cellular telephone. However LaDue teaches a method/system wherein the mobile wireless communications device comprises a cellular telephone (col 4 lines 20-25, col 5 lines 11-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of LaDue with Paravia, in order to provide an application for specific data such as gaming and wagering data as well as other specific data application.

Regarding claims 12, 25, Paravia fails to teach a method wherein comprises a table that includes location information and corresponding rules for different localities. However LaDue teaches a

Application/Control Number: 09/766,551

Art Unit: 2686

,

method wherein comprises a table that includes location information and corresponding rules for

different localities (col 13 lines 50-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of LaDue with Paravia,

in order to provide the means and method for gaming or gambling customers to operate globally

while concentrating on local serving system operations.

Regarding claims 13, 26, Paravia teaches a method wherein responding comprises responding

differently based on which one of the localities the user is currently in when the user selects to

access the specific service (col 3 lines 21-31).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Mages (US Patent 2003/0032407) disclose system and method for presenting unauthorized use

of a wireless or wired remote device

5. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications indented for entry)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/766,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 2686

Or:

(703) 308-6306, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington. Va., sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Melody Mehrpour whose telephone number is (703) 308-7159. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (first week of bi-week) and

Monday through Friday (second week of bi-week) from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempt to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Marsha

Banks-Harold be reached (703)305-4379

Dec 12, 2003

Marsha D Bank-Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600